

On the existence of Hamiltonian paths connecting Lagrangian submanifolds

Nassif Ghoussoub* and Abbas Moameni†

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia,

Vancouver BC Canada V6T 1Z2

nassif@math.ubc.ca

moameni@math.ubc.ca

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We use a new variational method –based on the theory of anti-selfdual Lagrangians developed in [2] and [3]– to establish the existence of solutions of convex Hamiltonian systems that connect two given Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$. We also consider the case where the Hamiltonian is only semi-convex. A variational principle is also used to establish existence for the corresponding Cauchy problem. The case of periodic solutions will be considered in a forthcoming paper [5].

1 Introduction

We consider the following Hamiltonian System

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(t) & \in & \partial_2 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T), \\ -\dot{q}(t) & \in & \partial_1 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $H : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and lower semi continuous function and $T > 0$. We develop a new variational approach to establish existence of solutions satisfying two types of boundary conditions. The first one requires the path to connect two Lagrangian submanifolds associated to given convex lower semi continuous functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 on $\mathbb{R}^N$, that is

$$q(0) \in \partial\psi_1(p(0)) \quad \text{and} \quad -p(T) \in \partial\psi_2(q(T)). \quad (2)$$

In other words, the Hamiltonian path must connect the graph of $\partial\psi_1$ to the graph of $-\partial\psi_2$. The second is simply an initial value problem of the form

$$p(0) = p_0, \quad q(0) = q_0 \quad (3)$$

where p_0 and q_0 are two given vectors in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

The solutions will be obtained from a novel variational principle developed in full generality in a series of papers [2], [3] and [6]. It is based on the concept of anti-selfdual Lagrangians to which one associates action functionals whose infimum is necessarily equal to zero. The equations are then derived from the limiting case in Legendre-Fenchel duality as opposed to standard Euler-Lagrange theory.

In the next section, we start with the case of convex Hamiltonian systems connecting Lagrangian submanifolds. This is then extended to the semi-convex case in section 4. The corresponding Cauchy problem is studied in section 3.

*Partially supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

†Research supported by a postdoctoral fellowship at the University of British Columbia.

2 Connecting Lagrangian submanifolds

Given a time $T > 0$, we let $X = W^{1,2}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ be the one-dimensional Sobolev space endowed with the norm $\|u\| = (\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $\|u\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_0^T |u|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ stands for the norm on $L^2 := L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$. For every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $p \cdot q$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $(p, q) \cdot (r, s)$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by $(p, q) \cdot (r, s) = p \cdot r + q \cdot s$.

Say that a Hamiltonian H on $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ is β -subquadratic for $\beta > 0$, if for some positive constants α, γ , we have,

$$-\alpha \leq H(p, q) \leq \frac{\beta}{2}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) + \gamma \quad \text{for all } (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}. \quad (4)$$

We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1 *Suppose $H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex lower semi-continuous β -subquadratic Hamiltonian with*

$$\beta < \frac{1}{2 \max(2T^2, 1)}. \quad (5)$$

Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be two convex lower semi-continuous and coercive functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that one of them satisfies the following condition:

$$\liminf_{|p| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi_i(p)}{|p|^2} > 2T \quad \text{for } i = 1 \text{ or } 2. \quad (6)$$

Then the minimum of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} I(p, q) : &= \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t), \dot{p}(t)) + 2\dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) \end{aligned}$$

on $Y = X \times X$ is zero and is attained at a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(t) \in \partial_2 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T), \\ -\dot{q}(t) \in \partial_1 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T), \\ q(0) \in \partial \psi_1(p(0)) \quad \& \quad -p(T) \in \partial \psi_2(q(T)). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Before we proceed with the proof, we note that condition (5) is satisfied as soon as we have

$$-\alpha \leq H(p, q) \leq \beta(|p|^r + |q|^r + 1) \quad (1 < r < 2)$$

where α, β are any positive constants.

The proof requires a few preliminary lemmas, but first and anticipating that at some point of the proof, the conjugate H^* of H needs to be finite everywhere (i.e. H coercive), we start by replacing H with the following perturbed Hamiltonian $H_\epsilon(p, q) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) + H(p, q)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. It is then clear that

$$\frac{1}{2(\beta + \epsilon)}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \gamma \leq H_\epsilon^*(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2\epsilon}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) + \alpha. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 1 *For any convex Hamiltonian H , and convex lower semi-continuous functions ψ_1, ψ_2 , we have that $I(p, q) \geq 0$ for every $(p, q) \in X \times X$.*

Proof: Use that

$$2 \int_0^T \dot{q} \cdot p dt = \int_0^T \dot{q} \cdot p dt - \int_0^T q \cdot \dot{p} dt + q(T) \cdot p(T) - q(0) \cdot p(0)$$

to write

$$\begin{aligned} I(p, q) : &= \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t), \dot{p}(t)) + 2\dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(p(T)) + \psi_2^*(-q(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) \\ &= \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t), \dot{p}(t)) + \dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t) - \dot{p}(t) \cdot q(t)] dt \\ &\quad + [\psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) + p(T) \cdot q(T)] \\ &\quad + [\psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) - p(0) \cdot q(0)] \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by applying three times the Legendre-Fenchel inequality.

Lemma 2 *To a convex lower semi-continuous Hamiltonian H on $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$, we associate the following “Functional Lagrangian” $L : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined as*

$$L(r, s; p, q) : \quad = \quad \int_0^T [(\dot{r}, -\dot{s}) \cdot (q, p) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H^*(-\dot{s}, \dot{r}) + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \\ - p(T) \cdot s(T) + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(s(T)) + r(0) \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(r(0)).$$

Then, we have $I(p, q) \leq \sup_{(r, s) \in X \times X} L(r, s; p, q)$ for every $(p, q) \in Y$.

Proof: Indeed, set

$$A := \left\{ r \in X : r(t) = \int_0^t f(\alpha) d\alpha + y, \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } f \in L_2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

and

$$B := \left\{ s \in X : s(t) = - \int_t^T g(\alpha) d\alpha + x, \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } g \in L_2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

and note that for every $(p, q) \in X \times X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{X \times X} L(r, s; p, q) &\geq \sup_{(r, s) \in A \times B} L(r, s; p, q) \\ &= \sup_{\substack{f, g \in L_2 \\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N}} \left\{ \int_0^T [(f, -g) \cdot (q, p) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H^*(-g, f) + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \right\} \\ &\quad - x \cdot p(T) + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(x) + y \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(y) \} \\ &= \sup_{f, g \in L^2} \left\{ \int_0^T [(f, -g) \cdot (q, p) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H^*(-g, f) + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \right\} \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{ -x \cdot p(T) + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(x) \} + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{ y \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(y) \} \\ &= \int_0^T [H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) + H(p, q) + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \\ &\quad + \{ \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) \} + \{ \psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) \} \\ &= \int_0^T [H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) + H(p, q) + \dot{q} \cdot p - q \cdot \dot{p}] dt \\ &\quad + [\psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) + p(T) \cdot q(T)] \\ &\quad + [\psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) - p(0) \cdot q(0)] \\ &= I(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 *Under the above conditions, and assuming that ϵ is small enough so that $\beta + \epsilon < \frac{1}{2 \max(2T^2, 1)}$, then we have the following coercivity property:*

$$L_\epsilon(0, 0; p, q) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|p\| + \|q\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

where L_ϵ is the functional Lagrangian associated to the perturbed Hamiltonian H_ϵ .

Proof: Without loss of generality we assume ψ_1 satisfies (6). An easy calculation shows that

$$\|p\|_{L^2} \leq T\|\dot{p}\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{T}|p(0)|, \quad \|q\|_{L^2} \leq T\|\dot{q}\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{T}|q(T)|. \quad (9)$$

Also note that $\frac{1}{2(\beta + \epsilon)}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \gamma \leq H_\epsilon^*(p, q)$, hence modulo a constant we have

$$L(0, 0, p, q) \geq \frac{1}{2(\beta + \epsilon)} \int_0^T (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) dt + 2 \int_0^T \dot{q} \cdot p dt + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) \quad (10)$$

Using Holder's inequality and inequality (9) for the second term on the right hand side of (10), imply

$$\left| \int_0^T \dot{q} \cdot p dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |p|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int |\dot{q}|^2 dt \leq T^2 \int |\dot{p}|^2 dt + T|p(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int |\dot{q}|^2 dt. \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(0, 0, p, q) &\geq \frac{1}{2(\beta + \epsilon)} \int_0^T (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) dt - \max(2T^2, 1) \int_0^T (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) - 2T|p(0)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which together with the coercivity condition on ψ_1 and ψ_2 and the fact that $\beta + \epsilon < \frac{1}{2 \max(2T^2, 1)}$ imply the claimed coercivity for L .

The theorem is now a consequence of the following Ky-Fan type min-max theorem which is essentially due to Brezis-Nirenberg-Stampachia (see [1]).

Lemma 4 *Let D be an unbounded closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space Y , and let $L(x, y)$ be a real valued function on $D \times D$ that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $L(x, x) \leq 0$ for every $x \in D$.
- (2) For each $x \in D$, the function $y \rightarrow L(x, y)$ is concave.
- (3) For each $y \in D$, the function $x \rightarrow L(x, y)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous.
- (4) The set $D_0 = \{x \in D; L(x, 0) \leq 0\}$ is bounded in Y .

Then there exists $x_0 \in D$ such that $\sup_{y \in D} L(x_0, y) \leq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1: It is easy to see that $\tilde{L}_\epsilon$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_\epsilon(p, q; r, s) &= L_\epsilon(r, s; p, q) \\ &= \int_0^T [(\dot{r}, -\dot{s}) \cdot (q, p) + H_\epsilon^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H_\epsilon^*(-\dot{s}, \dot{r}) + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \\ &\quad - p(T) \cdot s(T) + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(s(T)) + r(0) \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(r(0)). \end{aligned}$$

satisfies all the hypothesis of lemma 4 on the space $Y = X \times X$. Indeed, From (8) L_ϵ is real valued and it is clear that $L_\epsilon(p, q; p, q) = 0$ and Lemma 3 gives that the set $Y_0 = \{(p, q) \in Y; L_\epsilon(0, 0; p, q) \leq 0\}$ is bounded in Y . The function $(r, s) \rightarrow L_\epsilon(r, s; p, q)$ is concave for every (p, q) while $(p, q) \rightarrow L_\epsilon(r, s; p, q)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous for every $(r, s) \in Y$.

It follows that there exists (p_ϵ, q_ϵ) such that $\sup_{(r, s) \in X \times X} L_\epsilon(r, s; p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \leq 0$, so that by Lemma (2) we have

$$I(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \leq \sup_{(r, s) \in X \times X} L_\epsilon(r, s; p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand by Lemma (1) we have that $I_\epsilon(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \geq 0$ which means that the latter is zero.

Now let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2 \max(2T^2, 1)} - \beta$. For each $0 < \epsilon < \delta$ there exist $(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \in X \times X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) : &= \int_0^T [H_\epsilon(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) + H_\epsilon^*(-\dot{q}_\epsilon(t), \dot{p}_\epsilon(t)) + 2\dot{q}_\epsilon(t) \cdot p_\epsilon(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(q_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_1(p_\epsilon(0)) + \psi_1^*(q_\epsilon(0)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We shall show that (p_ϵ, q_ϵ) is bounded in $X \times X$. Indeed, similar to the proof of Lemma 3, we get

$$|2 \int_0^T \dot{q}_\epsilon \cdot p_\epsilon dt| \leq \max(2T^2, 1) \int_0^T (|\dot{q}_\epsilon|^2 + |\dot{p}_\epsilon|^2) dt + 2T|p_\epsilon(0)|^2. \quad (13)$$

Combining (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\int_0^T [H_\epsilon(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) + H_\epsilon^*(-\dot{q}_\epsilon(t), \dot{p}_\epsilon(t)) - \max(2T^2, 1) \int_0^T (|\dot{q}_\epsilon|^2 + |\dot{p}_\epsilon|^2) dt + \psi_2(q_\epsilon(T)) \quad (14)$$

$$+ \psi_2^*(-p_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_1(p_\epsilon(0)) + \psi_1^*(q_\epsilon(0)) - 2T|p_\epsilon(0)|^2] \leq 0. \quad (15)$$

This inequality and the fact that H and $\psi_i^*, i = 1, 2$ are bounded from below, guarantee the existence of a constant $C > 0$ independent of ϵ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2(\beta + \delta)} - \max(2T^2, 1) \right) \int_0^T (|\dot{q}_\epsilon|^2 + |\dot{p}_\epsilon|^2) dt + \psi_2(q_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_1(p_\epsilon(0)) - 2T|p_\epsilon(0)|^2 \leq C.$$

The coercivity of ψ_1 and ψ_2 together with the fact that $\frac{1}{\beta+\delta} - 2\max(2T^2, 1) > 0$ then implies the boundedness of (p_ϵ, q_ϵ) in $X \times X$. Therefore, up to a subsequence $(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup (\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ in $X \times X$.

Now we show that

$$I(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\epsilon(p_\epsilon, q_\epsilon) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Indeed, first note that

$$\int_0^T H_\epsilon^*(\dot{p}_\epsilon, \dot{q}_\epsilon) dt := \inf_{u, v \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)} \int_0^T \left[H^*(u, v) + \frac{\|\dot{p}_\epsilon - u\|^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\|\dot{q}_\epsilon - v\|^2}{2\epsilon} \right] dt,$$

and since H^* is convex and lower semi continuous, there exists $u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that this infimum attains at (u_ϵ, v_ϵ) , i.e.

$$H_\epsilon^*(\dot{p}_\epsilon, \dot{q}_\epsilon) = \int_0^T \left[H^*(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) + \frac{\|\dot{p}_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\|\dot{q}_\epsilon - v_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} \right] dt.$$

It follows from (14) and the boundedness of (p_ϵ, q_ϵ) in $X \times X$, that there exists a constant $C > 0$ not dependent on ϵ such that

$$H_\epsilon^*(\dot{p}_\epsilon, \dot{q}_\epsilon) = \int_0^T \left[H^*(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) + \frac{\|\dot{p}_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\|\dot{q}_\epsilon - v_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} \right] dt < C.$$

Since H^* is bounded from below, we have $\int_0^T [\|\dot{p}_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|^2 + \|\dot{q}_\epsilon - v_\epsilon\|^2] dt < 4C\epsilon$ which means that $(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) \rightharpoonup (\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ in $L^2 \times L^2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T H^*(\dot{p}, \dot{q}) dt &\leq \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T H^*(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) dt \leq \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \left[H^*(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon) + \frac{\|\dot{p}_\epsilon - u_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\|\dot{q}_\epsilon - v_\epsilon\|^2}{2\epsilon} \right] dt \\ &= \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T H_\epsilon^*(\dot{p}_\epsilon, \dot{q}_\epsilon) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T H(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) dt &\leq \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T H(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) dt \leq \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T [H(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) + \epsilon(|p_\epsilon(t)|^2 + |q_\epsilon(t)|^2)] dt \\ &= \inf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T H_\epsilon(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\dot{q}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \dot{\bar{q}}$ weakly and $p_\epsilon \rightarrow \bar{p}$ strongly in L^2 , thus $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \dot{q}_\epsilon \cdot p_\epsilon dt = \int_0^T \dot{\bar{q}} \cdot \bar{p} dt$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) &\leq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T [H_\epsilon(p_\epsilon(t), q_\epsilon(t)) + H_\epsilon^*(-\dot{q}_\epsilon(t), \dot{p}_\epsilon(t)) + 2\dot{q}_\epsilon(t) \cdot p_\epsilon(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(q_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p_\epsilon(T)) + \psi_1(p_\epsilon(0)) + \psi_1^*(q_\epsilon(0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma (1), $I(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \geq 0$, the latter is therefore zero, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \\ &= \int_0^T [H(\bar{p}(t), \bar{q}(t)) + H^*(-\dot{\bar{q}}(t), \dot{\bar{p}}(t)) + 2\dot{\bar{q}}(t) \cdot \bar{p}(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(\bar{q}(T)) + \psi_2^*(-\bar{p}(T)) + \psi_1(\bar{p}(0)) + \psi_1^*(\bar{q}(0)) \\ &= \int_0^T [H(\bar{p}(t), \bar{q}(t)) + H^*(-\dot{\bar{q}}(t), \dot{\bar{p}}(t)) + \dot{\bar{q}}(t) \cdot \bar{p}(t) - \dot{\bar{p}}(t) \cdot \bar{q}(t)] dt \\ &\quad + [\psi_2(\bar{q}(T)) + \psi_2^*(-\bar{p}(T)) + \bar{p}(T) \cdot \bar{q}(T)] \\ &\quad + [\psi_1(\bar{p}(0)) + \psi_1^*(\bar{q}(0)) - \bar{p}(0) \cdot \bar{q}(0)]. \end{aligned}$$

The result is now obtained from the following 3 identities and from the limiting case in Legendre-Fenchel duality:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\bar{p}(t), \bar{q}(t)) + H^*(-\dot{\bar{q}}(t), \dot{\bar{p}}(t)) + \dot{\bar{q}}(t) \cdot \bar{p}(t) - \dot{\bar{p}}(t) \cdot \bar{q}(t) &= 0, \\ \psi_2(\bar{q}(T)) + \psi_2^*(-\bar{p}(T)) + \bar{p}(T) \cdot \bar{q}(T) &= 0, \\ \psi_1(\bar{p}(0)) + \psi_1^*(\bar{q}(0)) - \bar{p}(0) \cdot \bar{q}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3 The Cauchy problem for Hamiltonian systems

Here is our result for the corresponding Cauchy problem.

Theorem 2 *Suppose $H : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper convex lower semi-continuous function such that $H(p, q) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|p| + |q| \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that*

$$-\alpha \leq H(p, q) \leq \beta(|p|^r + |q|^r + 1) \quad (1 < r < \infty) \quad (17)$$

where α, β are positive constants. Then the infimum of the functional

$$J(p, q) := \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t), \dot{p}(t)) + \dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t) - \dot{p}(t) \cdot q(t)] dt$$

on the set $D := \{(p, q) \in X \times X; p(0) = p_0, q(0) = q_0\}$ is equal to zero and is attained at a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(t) & \in & \partial_2 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T), \\ -\dot{q}(t) & \in & \partial_1 H(p(t), q(t)) & t \in (0, T) \\ (p(0), q(0)) & = & (p_0, q_0). \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

To prove Theorem 2, we first consider the subquadratic case ($1 < r < 2$).

Proposition 1 *Assume H is a proper convex and lower semi continuous Hamiltonian that is subquadratic on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Then the infimum of the functional*

$$J(p, q) := \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t), \dot{p}(t)) + \dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t) - \dot{p}(t) \cdot q(t)] dt \quad (19)$$

on $D := \{(p, q) \in X \times X, p(0) = p_0, q(0) = q_0\}$ is zero and is attained at a solution of (18).

Proof of Proposition 1: As in the proof of Theorem 1, it is clear that $J(p, q) \geq 0$ for every $(p, q) \in X \times X$. For the reverse inequality, we may consider –as in section 1– a perturbed Hamiltonian H_ϵ to insure coercivity, and then pass to a limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We therefore can and shall assume that H is coercive. We then introduce the following Hamiltonian

$$L(r, s; p, q) := \int_0^T [(\dot{r}, -\dot{s}) \cdot (q, p) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H^*(-\dot{s}, \dot{r}) + \dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t) - \dot{p}(t) \cdot q(t)] dt.$$

and we show that $I(p, q) \leq \sup_{(r, s) \in D} L(r, s; p, q)$.

Indeed, setting

$$A := \left\{ (r, s) \in D : r(t) = \int_0^t f(\alpha) d\alpha + p_0, s(t) = \int_0^t g(\alpha) d\alpha + q_0, \text{ for some } f, g \in L_2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(r, s) \in D} L(r, s; p, q) & \geq \sup_{(r, s) \in A} L(r, s; p, q) \\ & = \sup_{f, g \in L^2} \left\{ \int_0^T [(f, -g) \cdot (q, p) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) - H^*(-g, f) + \dot{q}p - \dot{p} \cdot q] dt \right\} \\ & = \int_0^T [H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) + H(p, q) + \dot{q} \cdot p - \dot{p} \cdot q] dt \\ & = I(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1, that is the subquadraticity of H gives the right coercivity for L and we are able to apply Ky-Fan's min-max principle as in Theorem 1, to find $(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in D$ such that $J(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = 0$.

Now, we deal with the general case, that is when (17) holds with $r > 2$. For that we shall use an unusual variation of the standard inf-convolution procedure to reduce the problem to the subquadratic case where Proposition (1) applies.

For every $\lambda > 0$, define

$$H_\lambda(p, q) := \inf_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ H(u, v) + \frac{\|p - u\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + \frac{\|q - v\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \right\} \quad (20)$$

where $s = \frac{r}{r-1}$. Obviously, $1 < s < 2$, and since H is convex and lower-semi continuous, the infimum in (20) is attained, so that for every $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exist unique points $i(p), j(q) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$H_\lambda(p, q) = H(i(p), j(q)) + \frac{\|p - i(p)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + \frac{\|q - j(q)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s}. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 5 *The regularized Hamiltonian H_λ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $H_\lambda(p, q) \rightarrow H(p, q)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$.
- (ii) $H_\lambda(p, q) \leq H(0, 0) + \frac{\|q\|_s^s + \|p\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s}$.
- (iii) $H_\lambda^*(p, q) = H^*(p, q) + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|p\|_r^r + \|q\|_r^r)$.

Proof: (i) and (ii) are easy. For (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda^*(p, q) &= \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{u \cdot p + v \cdot q - H_\lambda(u, v)\} \\ &= \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ u \cdot p + v \cdot q - \inf_{z, w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ H(z, w) + \frac{\|z - u\|_s^s + \|w - v\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ u \cdot p + v \cdot q - H(z, w) - \frac{\|z - u\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} - \frac{\|w - v\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sup_{u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ (u - z) \cdot p + (v - w) \cdot q + z \cdot p + w \cdot q - H(z, w) - \frac{\|z - u\|_s^s + \|w - v\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \right\} \\ &= \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sup_{u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ u_1 \cdot p + v_1 \cdot q - \frac{\|u_1\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} - \frac{\|v_1\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + z \cdot p + w \cdot q - H(z, w) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{u_1, v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ u_1 \cdot p + v_1 \cdot q - \frac{\|u_1\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} - \frac{\|v_1\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \right\} + \sup_{z, w \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{z \cdot p + w \cdot q - H(z, w)\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|p\|_r^r + \|q\|_r^r) + H^*(p, q). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the Cauchy problem associated to H_λ . By Proposition (1), there exists $(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \in X \times X$ such that $p_\lambda(0) = p_0, q_\lambda(0) = q_0$ and

$$0 = I(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) = \int_0^T [H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) + H_\lambda^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, p_\lambda) + \dot{q}_\lambda \cdot p_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot q_\lambda] dt \quad (22)$$

yielding

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_\lambda & \in \partial_2 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \\ -\dot{q}_\lambda & \in \partial_1 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \end{cases} \\ p_\lambda(0) = p_0, q_\lambda(0) = q_0.$$

From (21), we have

$$H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) = H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + \frac{\|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s}. \quad (23)$$

We now relate (p_λ, q_λ) to the original Hamiltonian.

Lemma 6 *For every $\lambda > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_\lambda & \in \partial_2 H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) \\ -\dot{q}_\lambda & \in \partial_1 H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)). \end{cases}$$

Proof: From (22) and the definition of Legendre-Fenchel duality we can write

$$H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) + H_\lambda^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, p_\lambda) + \dot{q}_\lambda \cdot p_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot q_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T). \quad (24)$$

Part (iii) of Lemma (5), together with (23) and (24), give

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s + \|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \\ &\quad + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|\dot{p}_\lambda\|_r^r + \|\dot{q}_\lambda\|_r^r) \\ &\quad + \dot{q}_\lambda \cdot p_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot q_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Note that

$$p_\lambda \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda = (p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda + i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot q_\lambda = (q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{p}_\lambda + (\dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda)). \quad (26)$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$|(p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda| \leq \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} \|\dot{q}_\lambda\|_r^r \quad (27)$$

$$|(q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{p}_\lambda| \leq \frac{\|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} \|\dot{p}_\lambda\|_r^r \quad (28)$$

Combining (25) - (28) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s + \|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \\ &\quad + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|\dot{p}_\lambda\|_r^r + \|\dot{q}_\lambda\|_r^r) \\ &\quad + (p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda + i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda - (q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) \cdot \dot{p}_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda) \\ &\geq H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s + \|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \\ &\quad + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|\dot{p}_\lambda\|_r^r + \|\dot{q}_\lambda\|_r^r) \\ &\quad + i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^r}{r} (\|\dot{p}_\lambda\|_r^r + \|\dot{q}_\lambda\|_r^r) - \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s + \|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \\ &= H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of Fenchel-Legendre duality

$$H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \cdot \dot{q}_\lambda - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda) \geq 0 \quad (29)$$

which means we have equality in (29), so that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_\lambda &\in \partial_2 H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)), \\ -\dot{q}_\lambda &\in \partial_1 H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)). \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Lemma 7 *With the above notation we have:*

1. $\sup_{t \in (0, T)} |q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)| + |p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)| \leq c\lambda$, where c is a constant.
2. If $H(p, q) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|p| + |q| \rightarrow \infty$ then $\sup_{t \in (0, T), \lambda > 0} |q_\lambda| + |j_\lambda(q_\lambda)| + |p_\lambda| + |i_\lambda(p_\lambda)| < \infty$.

Proof: For every $\lambda > 0$ and $t \in (0, T)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_\lambda &= \partial_2 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \\ -\dot{q}_\lambda &= \partial_1 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\dot{q}_\lambda$ and the second one by $\dot{p}_\lambda$, give

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}_\lambda \dot{q}_\lambda &= \dot{q}_\lambda \partial_2 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \\ -\dot{q}_\lambda \dot{p}_\lambda &= \dot{p}_\lambda \partial_1 H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) \end{cases}$$

So $\frac{d}{dt} H_\lambda(p_\lambda, q_\lambda) = 0$ and $H_\lambda(p_\lambda(t), q_\lambda(t)) = H_\lambda(p(0), q(0)) \leq H(p(0), q(0)) := c < +\infty$. Hence, it follows from (23) that

$$H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda(t)), j_\lambda(q_\lambda(t))) + \frac{\|p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)\|_s^s + \|q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)\|_s^s}{s\lambda^s} \leq c$$

which yields $\sup_{t \in (0, T)} |q_\lambda - j_\lambda(q_\lambda)| + |p_\lambda - i_\lambda(p_\lambda)| \leq c\lambda$ and $\sup_{t \in (0, T)} H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda(t)), j_\lambda(q_\lambda(t))) < +\infty$. Since H is coercive the last equation gives $\sup_{t \in (0, T), \lambda} |j_\lambda(q_\lambda)| + |i_\lambda(p_\lambda)| < \infty$, which together with Part (i) prove the lemma.

Lemma 8 *We have the following estimate:*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T], \lambda > 0} |\dot{p}_\lambda(t)| + |\dot{q}_\lambda(t)| < +\infty.$$

Proof: Since $-\alpha < H(p, q) \leq \beta|p|^r + \beta|q|^r + \beta$ where $r > 2$, an easy calculation shows that if $(p^*, q^*) \in \partial H(p, q)$ then

$$|p^*| + |q^*| \leq \left\{ s(2\beta)^{\frac{r}{s}} (|p| + |q| + \alpha + \beta) + 1 \right\}^{r-1} \quad (30)$$

Since by Lemma (6) we have $(\dot{p}_\lambda, -\dot{q}_\lambda) = \partial H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda))$, it follows from (30) that

$$|\dot{p}_\lambda| + |\dot{q}_\lambda| \leq \left\{ s(2\beta)^{\frac{r}{s}} (|i_\lambda(p_\lambda)| + |j_\lambda(q_\lambda)| + \alpha + \beta) + 1 \right\}^{r-1}$$

which together with Lemma 7 prove the desired result.

End of proof of Theorem 2: From Lemma (6), we have

$$\int_0^T [H(i_\lambda(p_\lambda), j_\lambda(q_\lambda)) + H^*(-\dot{q}_\lambda, \dot{p}_\lambda) + \dot{q}_\lambda \cdot i_\lambda(p_\lambda) - \dot{p}_\lambda \cdot j_\lambda(q_\lambda)] dt = 0. \quad (31)$$

while $p_\lambda(0) = p_0$ and $q_\lambda(0) = q_0$. By Lemma (8), $\dot{p}_\lambda$ and $\dot{q}_\lambda$ are bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ so there exists $(p, q) \in X \times X$ such that $\dot{p}_\lambda \rightharpoonup \dot{p}$ and $\dot{q}_\lambda \rightharpoonup \dot{q}$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p_\lambda \rightarrow p$ and $q_\lambda \rightarrow q$ strongly in $L_\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$. So by Lemma (7), $i_\lambda(p_\lambda) \rightarrow p$ and $j_\lambda(q_\lambda) \rightarrow q$ strongly in $L_\infty(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence by letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in (31), we get

$$\int_0^T [H(p, q) + H^*(-\dot{q}, \dot{p}) + \dot{q} \cdot p - \dot{p} \cdot q] dt \leq 0,$$

which means $p(0) = p_0$ and $q(0) = q_0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(t) &= \partial_2 H(p(t), q(t)) \\ -\dot{q}(t) &= \partial_1 H(p(t), q(t)). \end{cases}$$

4 Semi-Convex Hamiltonian systems

In this section, we consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{p}(t) &\in \partial_2 H(p(t), q(t)) + \delta_1 q(t) & t \in (0, T) \\ -\dot{q}(t) &\in \partial_1 H(p(t), q(t)) + \delta_2 p(t) & t \in (0, T) \\ q(0) &\in \partial \psi_1(p(0)) \\ -p(T) &\in \partial \psi_2(q(T)) \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that if $\delta_i \geq 0$ then the problem reduces to the one studied in section 1 with a new convex Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}(p, q) = H(p, q) + \frac{\delta_1}{2}|q|^2 + \frac{\delta_2}{2}|p|^2$. The case that concerns us here is when $\delta_i < 0$.

Theorem 3 Suppose $H : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a proper convex lower semi-continuous Hamiltonian that is β -subquadratic with

$$\beta < \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \frac{1 - 4T^2|\delta_1|^2}{\max(2T^2, 1) - 2\delta_1 T^2}, \frac{1 - 4T^2|\delta_2|^2}{\max(2T^2, 1) - 2\delta_2 T^2} \right\}. \quad (33)$$

Assume

$$|\delta_i| < \frac{1}{2T} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \quad (34)$$

and let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be convex lower semi-continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{|p| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi_1(p)}{|p|^2} > \frac{T|\delta_2|^2}{\beta} + 2T(1 - \delta_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{|p| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi_2(p)}{|p|^2} > \frac{T|\delta_1|^2}{\beta} - 2T\delta_1. \quad (35)$$

Then the minimum of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} I(p, q) : &= \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t) - \delta_2 p(t), \dot{p}(t) - \delta_1 q(t)) + \delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + 2\dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) \end{aligned}$$

on $Y = X \times X$ is equal to zero and is attained at a solution of (32).

By considering a perturbed Hamiltonian H_ϵ , then passing to a limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as in section 1, we can and shall assume that H is coercive. Also, note that for every $(p, q) \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(p, q) &= \int_0^T [H(p(t), q(t)) + H^*(-\dot{q}(t) - \delta_2 p(t), \dot{p}(t) - \delta_1 q(t)) + \delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + \dot{q}(t) \cdot p(t) - \dot{p}(t) \cdot q(t)] dt \\ &\quad + [\psi_2(p(T)) + \psi_2^*(-q(T)) + p(T) \cdot q(T)] \\ &\quad + [\psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) - p(0) \cdot q(0)] \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by three applications of Legendre inequality.

For the reverse inequality, we introduce the following functional Lagrangian $L : Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L(r, s; p, q) : &= \int_0^T [H^*(-\dot{q} - \delta_2 p, \dot{p} - \delta_1 q) - H^*(-\dot{s} - \delta_2 r, \dot{r} - \delta_1 s) \\ &\quad + (\dot{r} - \delta_1 s, -\dot{s} - \delta_2 r) \cdot (q, p) + \delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + 2\dot{q} \cdot p] dt \\ &\quad - p(T) \cdot s(T) + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(s(T)) + r(0) \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(r(0)). \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply the anti-selfduality argument, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 9 *For any $f, g \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, there exists $(r, s) \in X \times X$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{r}(t) &= \delta_2 s(t) + f(t) \\ -\dot{s}(t) &= \delta_1 r(t) + g(t) \\ r(0) &= x \\ s(T) &= y. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Proof: This is standard and is essentially a linear system of ordinary differential equations. Also, one can rewrite the problem as follows.

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{r}(t) + f(t) &= -\partial_2 G(r(t), s(t)) \\ \dot{s}(t) + g(t) &= -\partial_1 G(r(t), s(t)) \\ r(0) &= x \\ s(T) &= y. \end{cases}$$

where $G(r(t), s(t)) = -\frac{\delta_1}{2} \int_0^T |r(t)|^2 dt - \frac{\delta_2}{2} \int_0^T |s(t)|^2 dt$. Hence

$$G^*(\dot{s}(t) + g(t), -\dot{r}(t) + f(t)) = -\frac{1}{2\delta_2} \int |\dot{s}(t) + g(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{2\delta_1} \int |-\dot{r}(t) + f(t)|^2 dt$$

One can show as in Theorem 1 that whenever $|\delta_i| < \frac{1}{2T}$, coercivity holds and the following infimum is achieved at a solution of (36).

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \inf_{(r, s) \in D \subseteq X \times X} G^*(\dot{s}(t) + g(t), -\dot{r}(t) + f(t)) + G(r(t), s(t)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \dot{r}(t) \cdot s(t) dt - \int_0^T \dot{s}(t) \cdot r(t) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (f(t) \cdot s(t) + r(t) \cdot g(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \{(r, s) \in X \times X \mid r(0) = x, s(T) = y\}$.

Lemma 10 *For every $(p, q) \in X \times X$, we have*

$$I(p, q) \leq \sup_{(r, s) \in X \times X} L(r, s; p, q).$$

Proof: Use the above lemma to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{(r,s) \in X \times X} L(r,s;p,q) &= \sup_{f,g \in L^2} \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_0^T \left[(f,g) \cdot (q,p) + H^*(-\dot{q} - \delta_2 p, \dot{p} - \delta_1 q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - H^*(g,f) + \delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + 2\dot{q} \cdot p \right] dt \\
&\quad - p(T) \cdot y + \psi_2(q(T)) - \psi_2(y) + x \cdot q(0) + \psi_1(p(0)) - \psi_1(x) \\
&= \int_0^T \left[H^*(-\dot{q} - \delta_2 p, \dot{p} - \delta_1 q) + H(q,p) + \delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + 2\dot{q} \cdot p \right] dt \\
&\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_2^*(-p(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)) + \psi_1^*(q(0)) \\
&= I(p,q).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to again apply Ky-Fan's lemma, it remains to establish the following coercivity property.

Lemma 11 *Under the above hypothesis, we have*

$$L(0,0;p,q) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|p\| + \|q\| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof: Since H, ψ_1^*, ψ_2^* are bounded from below and $\frac{1}{2(\beta+\epsilon)}(|p|^2 + |q|^2) - \gamma \leq H_\epsilon^*(p,q)$, modulo a constant we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
L(0,0;p,q) &\geq \frac{1}{2(\beta+\epsilon)} \int_0^T (|\dot{q} + \delta_2 p|^2 + |\dot{p} - \delta_1 q|^2) dt + \int_0^T (\delta_1 |q|^2 + \delta_2 |p|^2 + 2\dot{q} \cdot p) dt \\
&\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) + \psi_1(p(0)).
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

It is easily seen that

$$|\dot{q} + \delta_2 p|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\dot{q}|^2 - |\delta_2|^2|p|^2, \quad \text{and} \quad |\dot{p} - \delta_1 q|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\dot{p}|^2 - |\delta_1|^2|q|^2. \tag{38}$$

It follows from (9) that,

$$\int_0^T |p(t)|^2 dt \leq 2 \left(T^2 \int_0^T |\dot{p}|^2 dt + T|p(0)|^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T |q(t)|^2 dt \leq 2 \left(T^2 \int_0^T |\dot{q}|^2 dt + T|q(T)|^2 \right). \tag{39}$$

Combining (37) and (38) gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T [|\dot{q} + \delta_2 p|^2 + |\dot{p} - \delta_1 q|^2] dt &\geq \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) - |\delta_2|^2|p|^2 - |\delta_1|^2|q|^2 \right] dt \\
&\geq \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{2} (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) - 2T^2(|\delta_2|^2|p|^2 + |\delta_1|^2|q|^2) \right] dt
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\quad - 2T(|\delta_2|^2|p(0)|^2 + |\delta_1|^2|q(T)|^2) \\
&\geq \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} [(1 - 4T^2|\delta_2|^2)|\dot{p}|^2 + (1 - 4T^2|\delta_1|^2)|\dot{q}|^2] dt \\
&\quad - 2T(|\delta_2|^2|p(0)|^2 + |\delta_1|^2|q(T)|^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$= \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} [\epsilon_1 |\dot{q}|^2 + \epsilon_2 |\dot{p}|^2] - 2T(|\delta_2|^2|p(0)|^2 + |\delta_1|^2|q(T)|^2) \tag{42}$$

where $\epsilon_i := 1 - 4T^2|\delta_i|^2 > 0$ since $|\delta_i| < \frac{1}{2T}$.

Also, similarly to the proof of Theorem (1), we get

$$\left| \int_0^T 2\dot{q} \cdot p dt \right| \leq \max(2T^2, 1) \int_0^T (|\dot{q}|^2 + |\dot{p}|^2) dt + 2T|p(0)|^2. \tag{43}$$

Hence, combining (37)-(41) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
L(0,0;p,q) &\geq \frac{1}{4(\beta+\epsilon)} \int_0^T [\epsilon_1 |\dot{q}|^2 + \epsilon_2 |\dot{p}|^2] - A(\delta_1, T) \int_0^T |\dot{q}|^2 dt - A(\delta_2, T) \int_0^T |\dot{p}|^2 dt \\
&\quad + \psi_2(q(T)) - \frac{T|\delta_1|^2}{\beta+\epsilon} |q(T)|^2 + 2\delta_1 T |q(T)|^2 \\
&\quad + \psi_1(p(0)) - \frac{T|\delta_2|^2}{\beta+\epsilon} |p(0)|^2 - 2T(1 - \delta_2)|p(0)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $A(\delta_i, T) = \max(2T^2, 1) - 2\delta_i T^2$. This inequality together with the coercivity condition on ψ_1 and ψ_2 , and the fact that $\beta < \frac{1}{4} \min\{\frac{\epsilon_1}{A(\delta_1, T)}, \frac{\epsilon_2}{A(\delta_2, T)}\}$ yield the claimed result.

References

- [1] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, G. Stampachia, *A remark on Ky Fan's Minimax Principle*, Bollettino U. M. I (1972), 293-300
- [2] N. Ghoussoub, *Anti-selfdual Lagrangians: Variational resolutions of non self-adjoint equations and dissipative evolutions*, (2005). Submitted.
- [3] N. Ghoussoub, *Anti-selfdual Hamiltonians: Variational resolution for Navier-Stokes equations and other nonlinear evolutions*, Submitted (2005)
- [4] N. Ghoussoub, *A class of selfdual partial differential equations and its variational principles*, In preparation (2005)
- [5] N. Ghoussoub, A. Moameni, *A new variational principle for periodic orbits of Hamiltonian systems and gradient flows*, In preparation (2005)
- [6] N. Ghoussoub, L. Tzou. *A variational principle for gradient flows*, Math. Annalen, Vol 30, 3 (2004) p. 519-549.
- [7] N. Ghoussoub, L. Tzou. *Iterations of anti-selfdual Lagrangians and applications to Hamiltonian systems and multiparameter gradient flows*, (2005). Submitted